Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

Amendments to the Claims:

Claim 1 (original): A method for determining a present coil temperature of a coil of a magnetorheological (MR) damper of an operating automotive vehicle, wherein the coil is powered by an output of a controller connected to the coil through a conductor, and wherein the method comprises the steps of:

- a) calculating that the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition;
- b) calculating a cold-start coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the output of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor:
- c) calculating a conductor resistance by subtracting a cold-start coil resistance, corresponding to a cold-start coil temperature, from the cold-start coil-plus-conductor resistance;
- d) calculating a present coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor;
- e) calculating a present coil resistance by subtracting the conductor resistance from the present coil-plus-conductor resistance; and
- f) calculating the present coil temperature using at least the present coil resistance, the cold-start coil resistance, the cold-start coil temperature, and a coefficient of the coil.

Claim 2 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein the conductor includes a wiring harness.

Claim 3 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition using at least an elapsed time since the automotive vehicle was last operating.

Claim 4 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition when the absolute value of the difference between a first temperature measured by a first temperature sensor of the automotive vehicle and a second temperature measured by a second temperature sensor of the automotive vehicle is within a

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

preselected value.

Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 1, also including repeating steps d) through f) a

plurality of times.

Claim 6 (original): The method of claim 5, wherein the plurality of times include times the

automotive vehicle is traveling below a predetermined speed.

Claim 7 (original): The method of claim 5, wherein the plurality of times include times when the

time since step f) was last repeated is longer than a preselected time interval.

Claim 8 (original): The method of claim 5, wherein the plurality of times include times when the

controller voltage and current for controlling the MR damper are substantially equal to the

voltage and the test current of step d).

Claim 9 (original): The method of claim 5, wherein a coil temperature calculated at time T by

repeating step f) is determined to be an invalid coil temperature when the absolute value of the

difference between the coil temperatures or coil resistances calculated at time T and at a closest

previous time exceeds a predetermined value.

Claim 10 (original): The method of claim 9, wherein, when a coil temperature of step f) is

determined to be an invalid coil temperature, the closest-in-time previous coil temperature is

used in place of the invalid coil temperature.

Claim 11 (original): The method of claim 9, wherein the automotive vehicle has additional MR

dampers, and wherein, when a coil temperature of step f) is determined to be an invalid coil

temperature, a function of at least one of the coil temperatures of the additional MR dampers of

the automotive vehicle is used in place of the invalid coil temperature.

Claim 12 (original): A method for determining a coil temperature of a coil of a

-3-

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

magnetorheological (MR) damper of an operating automotive vehicle, wherein the coil is powered by an output of a controller connected to the coil through a conductor, and wherein the method comprises the steps of:

- a) calculating if the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition or a warm start condition;
- b) if step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition, then performing the steps of:
- (1) calculating a cold-start coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the output of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor;
- (2) calculating a cold-start conductor resistance by subtracting a cold-start coil resistance, corresponding to a cold-start coil temperature, from the cold-start coil-plus-conductor resistance;
- (3) calculating a present coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor;
- (4) calculating a present coil resistance by subtracting the cold-start conductor resistance from the present coil-plus-conductor resistance; and
- (5) calculating a present coil temperature using at least the present coil resistance, the cold-start coil resistance, and the cold-start coil temperature; and
- c) if step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a warm start condition, then performing the steps of:
- (1) calculating a present coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor;
- (2) calculating a present coil resistance by subtracting the cold-start conductor resistance from the present coil-plus-conductor resistance; and
 - (3) calculating a present coil temperature using at least the present coil resistance, a warm-start coil resistance, and a warm-start coil temperature.

Claim 13 (original): The method of claim 12, wherein the conductor includes a wiring harness.

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

Claim 14 (original): The method of claim 12, wherein step a) calculates if the operating

automotive vehicle is in the cold start condition or the warm start condition using at least an

elapsed time since the automotive vehicle was last operating.

Claim 15 (original): The method of claim 12, wherein step a) calculates if the operating

automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition or a warm start condition using at least if the

absolute value of the difference between a first temperature measured by a first temperature

sensor of the automotive vehicle and a second temperature measured by a second temperature

sensor of the automotive vehicle is within a preselected value.

Claim 16 (original): The method of claim 12, also including, if step a) calculates that the

operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition, repeating steps b)(3) through b)(5) a

plurality of times, and, if step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a warm

start condition, repeating steps c)(1) through c)(3) a plurality of times.

Claim 17 (original): The method of claim 16, wherein the plurality of times include times the

automotive vehicle is traveling below a predetermined speed.

Claim 18 (original): The method of claim 16, the plurality of times include times when the time

since step b)(5) was last repeated, if step a) calculated a cold start condition, or include times

when the time since step c)(3) was last repeated, if step a) calculated a warm start condition, is

longer than a preselected time interval.

Claim 19 (original): The method of claim 16, wherein the plurality of times include times when

the controller voltage and current for controlling the MR damper are substantially equal to the

voltage and the test current of step b)(3) if step a) calculated a cold start condition or are

substantially equal to the voltage and the test current of step c)(1) if step a) calculated a warm

start condition.

-5-

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

Claim 20 (original): The method of claim 16, wherein a coil temperature calculated at time T by

repeating a coil temperature calculation step is determined to be an invalid coil temperature when

the absolute value of the difference between the coil temperatures or coil resistances calculated at

time T and at a closest previous time exceeds a predetermined value.

Claim 21 (original): The method of claim 20, wherein, when a coil temperature is determined to

be an invalid coil temperature, the closest previous coil temperature is used in place of the

invalid coil temperature.

Claim 22 (original): The method of claim 20, wherein the automotive vehicle has additional MR

dampers, and wherein, when a coil temperature is determined to be an invalid coil temperature, a

function of at least one of the coil temperatures of the additional MR dampers of the automotive

vehicle is used in place of the invalid coil temperature.

Claim 23 (original): A method for determining a present coil temperature of a coil of a

magnetorheological (MR) damper of an operating automotive vehicle, wherein the coil is

powered by an output of a controller connected to the coil through a conductor, and wherein the

method comprises the steps of:

- a) obtaining a conductor resistance;
- b) obtaining a reference coil temperature;

c) calculating a reference coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current

of the output of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the

conductor;

d) calculating a reference coil resistance by subtracting the conductor resistance from the

reference coil-plus-conductor resistance

e) calculating a present coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of

the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor;

f) calculating a present coil resistance by subtracting the conductor resistance from the

present coil-plus-conductor resistance; and

-6-

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

g) calculating the present coil temperature using at least the present coil resistance, the reference coil resistance, the reference coil temperature, and a coefficient of the coil.

Claim 24 (original): A method for determining a present coil temperature of a coil of a magnetorheological (MR) damper of an operating automotive vehicle, wherein the coil is powered by an output of a controller connected to the coil through a conductor, and wherein the method comprises the steps of:

- a) obtaining a reference coil temperature;
- b) calculating a reference coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the output of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor;
- c) obtaining a coefficient of the coil using at least the reference coil-plus-conductor resistance and the reference coil temperature;
- d) calculating a present coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor; and
- e) calculating the present coil temperature using at least the reference coil-plus-conductor resistance, the present coil-plus-conductor resistance, the reference coil temperature, and the coefficient.

Claim 25 (canceled)

Claim 26 (original): A method for determining a coil temperature of a coil of a magnetorheological (MR) damper of an operating automotive vehicle, wherein the coil is powered by an output of a controller connected to the coil through a conductor, and wherein the method comprises the steps of:

- a) calculating if the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition or a warm start condition;
- b) if step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition, then performing the steps of:
 - (1) calculating a cold-start coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

the current of the output of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor;

- (2) calculating a present coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor; and
- (3) calculating a present coil temperature using at least the present coil-plus-conductor resistance, the cold-start coil-plus-conductor resistance, and a cold-start coil temperature; and
- c) if step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a warm start condition, then performing the steps of:
- (1) calculating a present coil-plus-conductor resistance from the voltage and the current of the controller when the controller applies a test current to the coil and the conductor; and
- (2) calculating a present coil temperature using at least the present coil-plus-conductor resistance, a warm-start coil-plus-conductor resistance, and a warm-start coil temperature.

Claim 27 (original): The method of claim 26, wherein the conductor includes a wiring harness.

Claim 28 (original): The method of claim 26, wherein step a) calculates if the operating automotive vehicle is in the cold start condition or the warm start condition using at least an elapsed time since the automotive vehicle was last operating.

Claim 29 (original): The method of claim 26, wherein step a) calculates if the operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition or a warm start condition using at least if the absolute value of the difference between a first temperature measured by a first temperature sensor of the automotive vehicle and a second temperature measured by a second temperature sensor of the automotive vehicle is within a preselected value.

Claim 30 (original): The method of claim 26, also including, if step a) calculates that the

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

operating automotive vehicle is in a cold start condition, repeating steps b)(1) through b)(3) a plurality of times, and, if step a) calculates that the operating automotive vehicle is in a warm start condition, repeating steps c)(1) through c)(2) a plurality of times.

Claim 31 (original): The method of claim 30, wherein the plurality of times include times the automotive vehicle is traveling below a predetermined speed.

Claim 32 (original): The method of claim 30, the plurality of times include times when the time since step b)(3) was last repeated, if step a) calculated a cold start condition, or include times when the time since step c)(2) was last repeated, if step a) calculated a warm start condition, is longer than a preselected time interval.

Claim 33 (original): The method of claim 30, wherein the plurality of times include times when the controller voltage and current for controlling the MR damper are substantially equal to the voltage and the test current of step b)(1) if step a) calculated a cold start condition or are substantially equal to the voltage and the test current of step c)(1) if step a) calculated a warm start condition.

Claim 34 (original): The method of claim 30, wherein a coil temperature calculated at time T by repeating a coil temperature calculation step is determined to be an invalid coil temperature when the absolute value of the difference between the coil temperatures or coil resistances calculated at time T and at a closest previous time exceeds a predetermined value.

Claim 35 (original): The method of claim 34, wherein, when a coil temperature is determined to be an invalid coil temperature, the closest previous coil temperature is used in place of the invalid coil temperature.

Claim 36 (original): The method of claim 34, wherein the automotive vehicle has additional MR dampers, and wherein, when a coil temperature is determined to be an invalid coil temperature, a

Attorney Docket No.: DP-309695

Amendment

function of at least one of the coil temperatures of the additional MR dampers of the automotive vehicle is used in place of the invalid coil temperature.